Calendar No. 2002

82D CONGRESS, 2d Session | SENATE | REPORT No. 2078

MILITARY AND NAVAL CONSTRUCTION ACT

JULY 3 (legislative day, JUNE 27), 1952.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. LONG, from the Committee on Armed Services, submitted the following

UNIV. OF MICH.
JUL 15 1952
LAW LIBRARY

REPORT

[To accompany H. R. 8120]

The Committee on Armed Services, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 8120) to authorize certain construction at military and naval installations, and for other purposes, having considered the same, report favorably thereon with amendments and recommend that the bill, as amended, do pass.

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The purpose of this bill is to provide construction authorization for specific projects required by the Armed Forces within the United States and at overseas locations and to authorize the appropriation of funds to construct these projects.

DISCUSSION OF THE BILL

This bill was presented to the Congress by the Department of Defense in early May. The House Armed Services Committee immediately started detailed hearings which resulted in H. R. 8120 passing the House of Representatives on June 12, 1952.

As originally presented by the Department of Defense the bill would have authorized a total of $3.027 billion in military construction broken down as follows:

	Within United States	Outside United States	Classified facilities	Total
Army	$178,809,000	$68,617,000	$26,310,000	$273,736,000
Navy	174,044,000	34,839,000	28,972,000	237,855,000
Air Force	859,991,000	139,099,000	517,071,000	1,516,161,000
Providing in whole or in part for installations in foreign countries for all services				1,000,000,000
Total				3,027,752,000

As passed by the House of Representatives the bill would provide a total of $2.75 billion authorization broken down as follows—

	Within United States	Outside United States	Classified facilities including those in foreign countries	Total
Army	$171,664,000	$68,617,000	$143,010,000	$383,291,000
Navy	164,514,000	34,839,000	86,397,000	285,750,000
Air Force	844,240,000	111,099,000	1,133,938,000	2,089,277,000
Total				2,758,318,000

The reduction by the House of Representatives of approximately $250,000,000 was, for the most part, accomplished by eliminating from the bill this amount of authorization as the United States contribution toward the NATO air base program. Each NATO country makes a contribution toward these bases. Contributions have been divided into slices or annual segments. The first slice was approved in Brussels in 1950 and provided for no United States contribution. The second and third slices were approved at Ottawa and Lisbon, respectively, and did contain substantial United States contributions. A fourth and fifth slice are contemplated to round out the basic air field support requirements. Earlier contributions by the United States have been made from Mutual Security funds, because at the time they were needed these were the only funds that were quickly available. As pointed out in the House report on this bill, this authorization was deleted and it has not been reinstated by the committee.

The committee, during the consideration of the present bill, was mindful of a letter from the President of the United States warning gainst anticipated reductions. The committee does not agree with the statement contained therein to the effect that the authorizations had been pared down as much as national security would permit. This is particularly true insofar as requests for authorizations for military construction within the United States are concerned.

A breakdown of the authorization recommended by the committee is as follows:

	Within United States	Outside United States	Classified facilities including those in foreign countries	Total
Army	$116,865,800	$68,617,000	$135,019,000	$320,492,800
Navy	137,043,000	32,295,000	86,397,000	255,735,000
Air Force	708,352,000	92,610,000	1,012,398,000	1,813,360,000
Total				2,389,587,800

In the opinion of the committee, the reductions made will not prevent a single plane from flying, a single gun from firing, or a single ship from sailing. In some cases the recommendations relate to deferring worthy projects which, although desirable, are not essential at this time. In other cases the recommendations require the use of present facilities for a few more years before they are replaced by more modern and suitable structures. In many cases the recommendations involve the use of less elaborate designs and standards.

Public Law 155, Eighty-second Congress, first session, provided substantial authorizations for the Department of the Air Force to

provide facilities for the 95 wings envisaged at that time, and appropriations have been made against these authorizations. With the further expansion of the Air Force the scope of many of the Air Force bases has been increased or modified. During the hearings on the bill the Air Force requested authority to reprogram and use approximately $90 million of available funds at 40 bases for facilities not now authorized or requested in this bill and without canceling any existing authorizations. The committee was informed that a subsequent request would be made for the authorizations needed. In other words, an authorization request would be submitted to the Congress for projects already constructed. The committee believes that any modification of authorizations and the expenditure of funds against these authorizations should be presented to the Congress as a legislative proposal rather than simply advising a committee of the Congress of the proposal and requesting authority to proceed. Consequently the committee does not approve the request of the Air Force for the reprograming of funds and authorizations at the so-called "zero bases."

In making the reductions recommended, the committee established certain "ground rules," discussed in some detail hereafter, which it has applied equally to all projects. In some instances, application of these criteria resulted in heavy reductions on some projects, while others remained unchanged; in any event, the formulas were equally applied to all projects. The committee had before it many thousands of items and claims no infallability; where mistakes may have been made, they can be corrected in the supplemental request which the Department of Defense proposes to submit to the Congress in January.

The committee has been severely handicapped in its consideration of the bill because of the late date of its submission to the Congress by the Department of Defense. The program was not submitted until May, leaving only a few weeks for the Congress to study multitudinous projects scattered over much of the world. The committee therefore had little opportunity to make the desirable field inspections and lack of time prevented it from developing many aspects of the construction practices of the services which deserve clarification and correction. The committee has deleted some items because the services were unable to furnish pertinent information which is necessary to an intelligent appraisal of the project. The hearings demonstrated in several instances, in the opinion of the committee, that the services were recommending installations involving many millions of dollars on an almost casual basis and without serious study of important elements which should have been given exhaustive research. The committee prefers to attribute this laxity to the pressure of time rather than to ineptness or inefficiency. Since the Congress already has been informed that there will be additional authorization for military public works requested during fiscal year 1953, the Department of Defense and the Bureau of the Budget are now placed on notice that the committee expects further programs to be sent to the Congress far enough in advance to allow sufficient time for full study by the Congress. In its study of this bill, the committee was forced to conduct hearings on an almost round-the-clock basis for 10 days in an

effort to present intelligent legislation to the Senate; even then there are additional economies which could have been realized had time permitted a more exhaustive study and more extensive field inspections.

The committee was appalled by the lack of coordination and overall direction of the military construction program by the Office of the Secretary of Defense. The sole concern of the Secretary of Defense for such tremendous expenditures appears to be directed to the fiscal aspects only. Apparently no effort has been made to place the requests of the services on a common basis.

When the committee first undertook the study of this bill, it addressed itself to the Secretary of Defense in writing, asking his assistance in paring down the program, suggesting either the assignment of priorities to the innumerable items involved or the submission of new requests in substantially less amounts. The Secretary of Defense subsequently informed the committee in writing to the effect that no reductions could be made and that every item was sorely needed unless the defenses of the country were to materially suffer. After lengthy hearings and a study of the justifications submitted by the Departments, the committee strongly disagrees with that position.

Because it believes that much of the waste in our military construction program as it is now constituted results from definite lack of overall coordination and supervision, the committee recommends the establishment of the Office of Director of Installations under a civilian head responsible directly to the Secretary of Defense and charged with the responsibility for the efficient and economical planning and execution of a military construction program which at the present time involves billions of dollars annually. It is not contemplated that this office will entail any operational functions, those remaining in the present military construction agencies. The Office, however, will be expected to provide common standards and criteria for construction within the Department and to coordinate particularly the Army and Air Force programs which are both prosecuted by the Corps of Engineers of the Army. It is the view of the committee that this office should be staffed with a very small but select group of highly competent engineers and construction personnel.

UNIT COSTS

The committee realizes that there are many items in the construction program that are not subject to comparisons because of the peculiar function which they are designed to perform. It would appear, however, that in the case of such things as housing, barracks, warehouses, office buildings, roads, and utilities there should be a uniform standard and price. For instance, for cold-storage warehousing the Army and Air Force estimates are predicated on a unit cost of $20 per square foot; for similar storage the Navy request is $32.50 per square foot. It appears to the committee that where cold storage is to be provided for the handling of meats, dairy products, and foodstuffs generally there is no reason for this wide disparity in unit costs. As a consequence, where usage appears to be on a common basis, the committee has applied the lowest unit cost requested. In the case of cold-storage space this is $20 per square foot.

In other cases where different prices appeared, investigation by the committee has demonstrated that some prices requested by the services were completely unrealistic. One item in particular which involved many millions of dollars in this bill is warehousing. The Air Force and the Navy requested warehousing at a unit price of $8.40 per square foot while the Army request is on the basis of $7.50 per square foot. Investigation further reveals that there is reason to believe that warehousing in general is being overdesigned and built at much higher standards than would ordinarily be expected. Comparison with prices both in other Government agencies and commercial circles indicates that fully efficient warehousing meeting all hazard requirements, including weather and fire, can be provided on the basis of $6 per square foot. All warehousing items in the request have been reduced accordingly. The committee is well aware that it might be necessary to redesign the warehouses and to establish less rigid criteria in order to meet this unit price.

It has been difficult for the committee to obtain clear-cut construction criteria. After reviewing the unit costs in comparison with similar commercial costs, it can only conclude that the services, insofar as their construction practices are concerned, are not designing structures on the primary basis of utility, particularly in the so called permanent type of structure. As an example, the Army requests authorization for a building to house a Finance and Adjutant General School at Fort Benjamin Harrison, Ind., at a cost of $19.60 per square foot. The committee checked the unit cost of the recently completed General Accounting Office Building in Washington and found that it was built at a cost of $12.50 per square foot. After considering increases in construction costs since the GAO Building was placed under contract, unit cost would be well below $14 per square foot. The GAO Building is efficient and attractive and contains all modern conveniences. The committee does not feel justified in recommending authorization at Fort Benjamin Harrison of a building more elaborate than the GAO Building. As a consequence, the authorization for Fort Benjamin Harrison has been deleted and the Army is hereby advised that its future consideration will be dependent upon the type, character, and cost of the requested facility.

The committee has recommended substantial reductions in the medical facilities requested, particularly by the Air Force, because it believes the requests to be based on standards far too high and without consideration of the over-all health problem faced by the Nation. If the committee allowed the dental and hospital facilities requested by the Air Force, the result would have been to further seriously impair the supply of doctors and nurses available to the civilian populace. As an example, the Air Force requested dental facilities on the basis of 1 dental chair for every 500 men, whereas the civilian population has available to it 1 dental chair for every 1,700 population. That is not to say that the dental facilities available to our people generally are sufficient, but if we raise too drastically the standards in the armed services, we necessarily lower the services available to others. The reductions made by the committee will in its opinion provide ample facilities and services to the members of the Armed Forces with a

minimum of disruption and denial of service to the civilian population. The same comment in general applies with equal force to the request for hospital facilities and reductions have been made accordingly.

In connection with the medical program, the committee found that there has been little or no effort on the part of the military to jointly use existing facilities or to integrate its medical program with that of the Veterans' Administration. The committee established that there are veterans hospitals located very near military establishments which are partially utilized because of inability of the Veterans' Administration to obtain the necessary professional staff. Yet there had been no inquiry as to availability of these facilities by the Armed Forces, particularly the Air Force, and no effort made to work out arrangements for utilization of available bed space by the military. Where such conditions are known to exist, the committee has provided authorization for infirmaries. The Military Establishment will be expected to work out arrangements with the Veterans' Administration to utilize so far as possible existing facilities. In the future the committee recommends that the Military Establishment exhaust all reasonable efforts to use existing facilities before requesting large hospital complements.

ARMY BARRACKS

The committee heard testimony from the Army in some detail with respect to the rather large number of barrack spaces which it requested. At many installations substantial numbers of barrack spaces were requested, although existing facilities were more than enough to house the present strength and any foreseeable increase. As a general rule the barracks facilities available are of a permanent or semipermanent type.

The justification of the Army for this request is that it has calculated its construction capabilities in the event of full scale mobilization and finds that the demands upon its capabilities in the early stages of such mobilization will be in excess of its capabilities. It, therefore, proposes to take up this slack at the present time by the construction of barracks of a permanent type. Had the Army suggested that the slack be taken up in the heavy construction fields, such as ordnance production and other industrial facilities, it would have appeared more logical to the committee. The fact is that temporary housing represents the most simple type of construction and could be constructed promptly when required.

In the opinion of the committee, living quarters for our military men can be provided very quickly when the need arises and should not be constructed perhaps years in advance of their requirement. At bases where there is not sufficient troop housing space sufficient barracks have been allowed to make up the deficiency.

TROOP HOUSING

The committee found that as between the three services there is very little in common with respect to troop housing. On a cost-per-man basis the price varies widely. As an example, on the permanent

type barracks the Air Force requests $2,000 per man while the comparable figure for an Army barracks is $1,815 and the Navy $2,100. The committee examined drawings and went into some detail as to specifications on the barracks requirements. It came to the conclusion that in general the troop housing is overdesigned. It believes that spacious and comfortable quarters can be designed and constructed on the average at a much lesser cost and therefore has reduced the unit cost per man for all three services to $1,700 per man on permanent type facilities and $1,400 per man on so-called 10-year construction. It is pertinent to observe that the Air Force was able to show only $60 per man difference in cost between the permanent type structure and the 10-year structure.

The committee had before it a study of Air Force troop housing made since January by a subcommittee of the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments of the House of Representatives headed by Representative Porter Hardy. The study revealed that the Air Force has substantially overestimated its barracks requirements.

Pertinent extracts from the report are:

> The analysis showed that about 30 percent of the buildings for airmen's housing which the Air Force plans to construct would not be needed in the foreseeable future. At a simple 80 percent of troop strength 21.3 percent of the buildings are excess; on the basis of family housing needs reported by the Air Force to the Housing and Home Finance Agency 29.7 percent of the buildings are excess; on the basis of current experience in family housing 33.4 percent of the buildings are excess.
>
> Two factors inflated the Air Force's estimates of dormitory requirements as presented to the Congress.
>
> (1) The estimate of houses available in the communities at each base was grossly underestimated. * * * (2) The second factor concerns the percentage of airmen for whom dormitory spaces are programed. Although 30 percent of the airmen live off base with dependents, the Air Force policy was to build dormitories to accommodate at least 80 percent of its enlisted strength.
>
> * * * * * * *
>
> Based on a space allowance of 72 square feet per man it is estimated that there is a surplus of 25,808 spaces in the 1952 program, about $51,616,000, and a surplus in the bill now before Congress of 16,051 spaces, about $32,102,000.

Subsequent to this report, the Air Force instituted new dormitory planning criteria which will provide spaces for 70 percent of enlisted strength rather than the 80 percent heretofore provided.

The committee has, where applicable, made reductions at bases where the House committee found excess spaces being requested. It is pointed out that the Hardy committee report was not available, insofar as is known, until some time after House action on this bill.

The committee observes that there are other items in this bill requested by all three services which are excessive, but again lack of time has prohibited the necessary studies to arrive at a sound conclusion.

BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS

The committee examined in some detail the requests for bachelor officer quarters. Cost per man for these quarters varied between the services, the Air Force asking as much as $6,000 per man and the Navy as much as $8,500 per man plus messing facilities. Such unit costs on a per-man basis appeared entirely out of line. When it is compared with the room costs of the leading modern hotels in the country it is found to be excessive. Bearing in mind that room costs in a hotel structure are based on the cost of the hotel divided by the number of rooms therein—which is to say that the per-room cost must absorb the cost of lobbies, restaurants, banquet rooms, kitchens, and other public facilities—the committee believes that adequate and comfortable quarters can be provided at a lesser rate and, therefore, has reduced the unit costs for all services to $5,000 per man and expects the services to stay well within this limitation.

ROADS—RAILROADS

In many cases the committee found that the standards for reservation roads were in its opinion far too high with resultant increase in costs. As a consequence, where road items appeared to be entirely out of line, reductions have been made. Spur tracks were found to be excessive in cost—based on unnecessarily high standards—and where so found were reduced to more reasonable figure.

VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS

The testimony before the committee would indicate that our armed services are still plagued as they were at Anzio and Normandy with an overabundance of vehicles. An air base with a complement of approximately 2,000 men would have some 400 vehicles or 1 vehicle for every 5 men on the base, according to the testimony.

The committee believes that the requests for costly maintenance facilities for these vehicles is entirely unrealistic. In some cases the services were asking to replace existing facilities with more costly new facilities or were requesting additions to existing facilities. There was no evidence that any effort is being made to use private sources for contracting any vehicle maintenance which the committee believes in some cases could be done efficiently and economically and without impairment to the training of our troops. These items therefore have been carefully screened and construction of new repair shops held to the minimum consistent with efficient operation.

HAMMONTON AIR FORCE BASE, N. J.

The committee recommends deletion of language in the bill which would authorize a military air transport base and depot at Hammonton, N. J. Considerable testimony was taken on this item. The committee does not believe that an installation of the type and

magnitude as this should be located in an area so close to the sea and in a general area where congestion is so heavy. It is believed that the Air Force should go further into this matter and examine other possibilities before proceeding with this project. The committee points out that similar facilities already exist in several other locations and that the delay entailed by a further survey will not jeopardize our military build-up. This project incidentally is the only one in the Air Force submission on which the facility would be located on a site where the Government at present has no equity. Every acre of land acquired would have to be purchased and there are no facilities on the proposed site which would be usable insofar as the committee could determine.

AIR UNIVERSITY, MAXWELL FIELD, ALA.

The academic building requested by the Air Force for its post-graduate school, the Air University at Maxwell Field, Ala., was unrealistic in cost. The unit cost requested was $20 per square foot. If the university were not operating in vitally needed family quarters there would be good cause for deferring the item; however, the need is urgent and the facility is operating on the base with great difficulty. The committee therefore is allowing the item on a basis of $15 per square foot, which it considers an ample amount to furnish a comfortable and utilitarian facility. The cost on this basis will be $4,125,000 rather than the $5,500,000 requested.

Since the new building will release quarters for occupancy, the bachelor officer quarters requested have been reduced to 275 units at $5,000 per unit, including messing facilities. The remaining reduction is on utilities, in line with the other reductions.

DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE BILL

The projects proposed for each location named in the bill are listed under general headings with a total authorization for that location. Each general heading may consist of numerous specific projects falling within that category. The subcommittee considered each specific project and reductions and deletions from the bill are on the basis of specific projects submitted in the construction program for each service. In order that the Department of Defense may be aware of the reductions or deletions recommended by the committee the following analysis is submitted.

TITLE I.—*Department of the Army*

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
FIELD FORCE FACILITIES		
Fort Devens, Mass.:		
3 enlisted-men's barracks, $1,432,000	None	$1,432,000
2 warehouses, $600,000	do	600,000
Fort Dix, N. J.:		
Barracks, $4,775,000	do	4,775,000
Dental clinic, $232,000	50 percent	116,000
Fort Tilden, N. Y.: Service club, $75,000	Defer	77,000
Fort Wadsworth, N. Y.:		
Engineer field maintenance shop, $292,000	do	292,000
Warehouse, $60,000	do	60,000
Fort Campbell, Ky.:		
Barracks, $3,920,000	None	3,920,000
Hardstands, $30,000	Defer	30,000
Motor repair shops, $203,000	do	203,000
Hardstands, $150,000	do	150,000
Fort Knox, Ky.:		
Barracks, $4,900,000	None	4,900,000
Army school building, $1,336,000	Defer	1,336,000
Barracks, $490,000	None	490,000
Hardstand, $120,000	Defer	120,000
Extension to electrical system, $70,000	None	70,000
Water supply facilities and land, $467,000	do	467,000
Fort George G. Meade, Md.:		
Communications Center, $867,000	Defer	867,000
Addition to post headquarters, $101,000	do	101,000
Hardstands, $151,000	do	151,000
Fort Benning, Ga.:		
Cold-storage warehouse (2), $84,000	None	84,000
Hardstand, $223,000	Defer	223,000
Hardstand, $72,000	do	72,000
Hardstand, $46,000	do	46,000
Fort Bragg, N. C.:		
Barracks, $4,940,000	None	4,940,000
Quartermaster warehouse, $544,000	Defer	544,000
Roads from ammunition area, $369,000	Cut unit price	70,000
Roads, $308,000	do	78,000
Fence for ammunition area, $86,000	Cut unit price to 0.55	77,000
Access road, $253,000	Cut unit price	50,000
Hardstand, $59,000	Defer	59,000
Camp Gordon, Ga.: Administration building, $125,000.	do	125,000
Fort Jackson, S. C.:		
Recreation and classroom, $85,000	do	85,000
Ordnance office, $38,000	do	38,000
Street lighting, $59,000	do	59,000
Fort McClelland, Ala.:		
Administration building, $20,000	do	20,000
2 storehouses, $181,000	do	181,000
Post engineering facility, $532,000	50 percent	266,000
Locomotive repair shop, $65,000	None	65,000
Camp Stewart, Ga.: Sewer extension and rehabilitation, $1,024,000.	If funds are insufficient resubmit	512,000
Fort Bliss, Tex.: Administration building, $180,000	Defer	180,000
Camp Chaffee, Ark.: Hardstands, $29,000	do	29,000
Fort Hood, Tex.: Land, $5,306,000	Reduced acreage by 8,520 acres at $50	426,000
Camp Polk, La.: Entire project	Defer	608,000
Fort Sill, Okla.:		
Motor repair shops, $253,000	do	253,000
Hardstands, $173,000	do	173,000
Barracks, $2,128,000	None	2,128,000
Lab. classroom and office building $534,000	Defer	534,000
Hangar type shop and classroom $786,000	50 percent	393,000
Camp Atterbury, Ind.: Entire project	Defer	315,000
Camp Crowder, Mo.: Permanent barracks, etc., $1,980,000.	Change to rehabilitation of existing buildings.	0
Fort Benjamin Harrison, Ind.: Entire project	Defer	7,600,000
Fort Riley, Kans.:		
Repair shops, $265,000	50 percent	132,000
Road, $105,000	Reduce unit price	55,000
Fort Huachuca, Ariz.: Railroad spur, $78,000	None	78,000
Fort Lewis, Wash.:		
Storm draining system, $500,000	When survey is complete, resubmit	500,000
Hardstand, $150,000	Defer	150,000
Utilities, $20,000	do	20,000
Fort Ord, Calif.:		
Barracks, $6,888,000	None	6,888,000
Extension of utilities, $1,000,000	do	1,000,000
Camp Stoneman, Calif.: Barracks, $1,476,000	do	1,476,000

TITLE I.—*Department of the Army*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
FIELD FORCE FACILITIES—continued		
United States disciplinary barracks, Camp Cooke, Calif.: Barracks, $293,000.	None	$293,000
Yuma Test Station, Ariz.: Property and supply building, $64,000.	50 percent	32,000
TECHNICAL SERVICE FACILITIES		
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.: Barracks, $1,431,000.	Omit	1,431,000
Detroit Arsenal, Mich.:		
Excavation test pit, $18,000	Cut unit price to $1	9,000
Excavation cooling pond, $38,000	do	19,000
Fort Monmouth, N. J.: Barracks, $1,100,000	Omit	1,100,000
Army Map Service, District of Columbia: Map-producing building, $846,000.	Defer	846,000
Brooklyn Army Base, N. Y.: Locomotive shed and repair shop, $246,000.	None	246,000
New Orleans Army Base, La.: Warehouse, $60,000	Reduce unit price to $6 per square foot	8,000

TITLE II.—*Department of the Navy*

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
SHIPYARD FACILITIES		
Naval Shipyard, Boston, Mass.:		
Shop building, 10,000 square feet, at $19.40	10,000 square feet, at $15	$44,000
600-man galley, at $745	600, at $350	237,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 37 men, at $7,300	37, at $5,000	85,000
Shop, 65,600 square feet, at $19.50	65,660 square feet, at $17.50	131,000
Naval Shipyard, Charleston, S. C.:		
Galley, 300, at $760	300, at $350	123,000
Shop, 250,000 square feet, at $18.80	250,000 square feet, at $14	1,200,000
Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, N. H.: Barracks, 18,000 square feet, at $15.40.	18,000 square feet, at $12	61,000
FLEET FACILITIES		
Naval Base, Newport, R. I.: Berthing facilities	Defer; allow $140,000 for drawings and specifications.	5,000,000
Naval Operating Base, Norfolk, Va.: Extend 3 existing piers.	Defer	1,960,000
AVIATION FACILITIES		
Marine Corps auxiliary landing field, Beaufort, S. C.:		
Runway, 178,000 square yards, at $12	178,000 square yards, at $7.20	854,000
Parking 80,000 square yards, at $12	80,000 square yards, at $7.20	384,000
Control tower, at $72,000	1 at $40,000	32,000
Marine Corps Air Station, Miami, Fla.:		
Pavement, 87,000 square yards, at $8	87,000 square yards, at $6	174,000
Runway, 150,000 square yards, at $12	150,000 square yards, at $7.20	720,000
Parking, 41,700 square yards, at $12	41,700 square yards, at $7.20	200,000
Access road, 40,000 square yards, at $5	40,000 square yards, at $4	40,000
Naval Air Station, Lincoln (formerly Omaha): Avgas storage, 10,000 barrels, at $17.	10,000 barrels, at $4.20	128,000
Naval Air Training Center, Patuxent, Md.:		
General storage, 112,000 square feet, at $8.40	112,000 square feet, at $6	268,000
Classified storage, 6,000 square feet, at $12	6,000 square feet, at $10	12,000
Refrigeration, 12,000, at $32.50	12,000 square feet, at $20	150,000
SUPPLY FACILITIES		
Naval Supply Center, Byron, Ga.:		
Maintenance facilities, 20,000 square feet, at $15	20,000 square feet, at $12.50	50,000
Storage, 960,000 square feet, at $8	960,000 square feet, at $6	1,920,000
Naval Supply Depot, Great Lakes, Ill.: Storage, 280,000 square feet, at $8.85.	280,000 square feet, at $6	800,000
Naval Supply Center, Norfolk, Va.: Troop housing, 220 men, at $21.	220 men, at $17	88,000

TITLE II.—*Department of the Navy*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
SUPPLY FACILITIES—continued		
Naval Supply Depot, Scotia, N. Y.: Storage, 360,000 square feet, at $8.85.	360,000 square feet, at $6	$1,025,000
Naval Supply Depot, San Diego, Calif.: 300,000 barrels fuel storage, at $8.	300,000, at $4.20	1,140,000
MARINE CORPS FACILITIES		
Marine Corps Depot Supply, Albany, Ga.:		
Administration building, 66,500 square feet, at $13.65.	66,500 square feet, at $12.50	76,000
Covered storage, 1,400,000 square feet, at $6.50.	1,400,000, at $6	700,000
Marine Corps Schools, Quantico, Va.: Additional authorization, $163,000.	Complete with available authorization	163,000
ORDNANCE FACILITIES		
Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Md.: Test building, 20,000 square feet, at $17.45.	20,000 square feet, at $15	49,000
Naval Mine Depot, Yorktown, Va.:		
Messing facility, 300, at $1,821	300, at $1,400	126,000
Utilities	Reduced	4,000
Various additional magazines:		
McAlester	Duplication	2,399,000
Shoemaker	do	4,406,000
SERVICE SCHOOL FACILITIES		
U. S. Naval Supply Schools, Athens, Ga.: Bachelor officer's quarters, 110, at $7,000.	110, at $5,000	220,000
Naval Amphibious Base, Coronado, Calif.: Galley 3,300 men, at $515.	3,300, at $350	545,000
Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek, Va.:		
150,000 square feet, at $20	150,000 square feet, at $15	750,000
Buffer area, 35.5 acres	Omit	203,665
YARDS AND DOCKS FACILITIES		
Naval Construction Battalion Center, Davisville, R. I.:		
Classroom and shop, 12,000 square feet, at $20	12,000 square feet, at $14	72,000
Storehouse 30,000 square feet, at $8.80	30,000 square feet, at $6	84,000
Drill hall, Armory, 30,000 square feet, at $18	30,000 square feet, at $15	90,000

OUTSIDE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

AVIATION FACILITIES		
Naval Air Station, Agana:		
Office building, 4,000 square feet, at 44 cents	4,000, at 31 cents	$52,000
Bachelor officer quarters, 75, at $16,660	75, at $13,200	259,000
Naval Station, Argentia:		
Runway extension, 50,000 square yards, at $21.60	50,000 square yards, at $13	430,000
Family quarters, 30, at $33,460	30, at $22,400	332,000
Naval Air Station, Guantanamo: Parking area, 50,000 square yards, at $16.80.	50,000 square yards, at $10	340,000
Naval Air Station, Kwajalein: Entire project	Omit	1,131,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND		
Barksdale Air Force Base, Shreveport, La.: 1,000-airman dormitory, at $2,000.	280-airman dormitory, at $1,700	$1,524,000
Carswell Air Force Base, Fort Worth, Tex.: 1,700-airman dormitory, at $2,000.	850-airman dormitory, at $1,700	1,955,000
Clinton Naval Air Station, Clinton, Ohio:		
Apron 140,000 square yards, at $7.20	70,000 square yards, at $7.20	504,000
18 units, at $60,000	9, at $60,000	540,000
2,400-airman dormitory, at $1,940	1,650, at $1,400	2,346,000
Dental clinic	50 percent	42,000
Warehouse, 80,000 square feet, at $8.40	80,000, at $6	192,000
Total reduction		3,624,000
Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Tucson, Ariz.:		
Jet fuel storage, 50,000 gallons, at $0.25	Defer, has 2,050,000 gallons	13,000
Dental clinic	Defer	79,000
3 units, at $60,000	Defer, has 15	180,000
Total reduction		272,000
Dow Air Force Base, Bangor, Maine:		
18 units, at $60,000	9, at $60,000	540,000
400-airman dormitory, at $1,940	400, at $1,400	216,000
Warehouse, 50,000 square feet, at $8.40	50,000, at $6	120,000
Total reduction		876,000
Fairchild Air Force Base, Spokane, Wash.:		
Lube oil storage, 40,000 gallons, at $0.60	40,000, at $0.25	14,000
Roads, 16,666 square yards, at $6.10	16,666 square yards, at $3.95	36,000
Total reduction		50,000
Forbes Air Force Base, Topeka, Kans.:		
Washrack	Defer	110,000
Dental clinic	do	107,000
Total reduction		217,000
Homestead-Dade County Airport, Homestead, Fla.:		
76,319 square yards, apron, at $12	50 percent	4,582,000
52,630 square yards, apron strip, at $7.20	Cut unit price to $6	62,000
73,083 square yards runway strip, at $7.20	Cut unit price to $6	87,000
53,917 square yards taxiway strip, at $7.20	do	65,000
Washrack	Defer	110,000
2,500-Airman dormitory, at $1,940	Cut to $1,400	1,350,000
36,533 square yards roads, at $4.80	Cut to $3.95 square yard	30,000
140,000 square yards road improvements, at $4.80.	do	119,000
Railroad, 2 miles, at $56,400	Cut to $50,000	13,000
Dental clinic, 6,310 square feet (107,000)	50 percent	53,000
Warehousing, 100,000 square feet, at $8.40	Cut to $6	240,000
Total reduction		6,711,000
Hunter Air Force Base, Savannah, Ga.:		
Alert hangar	Defer	314,000
Reeds, 124,580 square yards, at $5.70	Cut to $3.95 square yard	218,000
Warehouse, 12,000 square feet, at $10	Cut to $6 square foot	48,000
3 units, at $60,000	Defer, has 30	180,000
Land	Omit	110,000
Roads	Lower unit price	82,000
Total reduction		952,000
Lake Charles Air Force Base, Lake Charles, La.:		
Parking apron, 250,000 square feet, at $12	Cut 50 percent	1,500,000
2,300-airman dormitory, at $1,940	900, at $1,400	3,202,000
42-man bachelor officers quarters	Defer	231,000
20,832 square yards road, at $4.80	Cut to $3.95	18,000
Railroad, 0.8 mile, at $56,400	Cut unit price to $50,000	5,000
4 units, at $75,000	defer, has 15	300,000
3 units, at 92,000		276,000
Total reduction		5,532,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND—continued		
Lakeland Airport, Lakeland, Fla.:		
Parking apron, 106,000 square yards at $7.20	Reduced to $56,000	$360,000
Washrack	Defer	80,000
18 units	do	1,080,000
Control tower, at $68,000	Reduce to 40,000, U. S. N. price	28,000
2,300-airman dormitory, at $1,940	1,150, at $1,400	2,852,000
Roads 110,180 square feet, at $7.50	Cut to $3.95	390,000
Railroad, 1.4 mile, at $56,400	Reduce unit price	9,000
Lakeland Air Force Base:		
Dental clinic, $85,000	Cut 50 percent	42,000
Warehouse 150,000 square feet at $8.40	Cut to $6 per square foot	360,000
Total reduction		5,201,000
Limestone Air Force Base, Limestone, Maine:		
300-airman dormitories at $1,940 by 1.4	Defer	815,000
189-officer bachelor officers' quarter at $6,000 by 1.4.	189 bachelor officers' quarters at $5,000 by 1.4.	264,000
Total reduction		1,079,000
Lincoln Air Force Base		None
Little Rock Air Reserve Base, Little Rock, Ark.:		
723,122 square yard apron at $12	523,122 at $12	2,400,000
Washrack	Defer	110,000
7 units at $75,000	3 at $75,000	300,000
15 units at $92,000	9 at $92,000	552,000
3,000 airman dormitories at $2,000	1,500 at $1,700	3,450,000
Roads 140,870 square yards at $5.70	Cut to $3.95	247,000
2.8 miles railroad at $56,400	Cut to $50,000	18,000
6,310 square feet dental clinic $114,000	Cut 50 percent	57,000
Warehousing 100,000 square feet at $8.40	Cut $6	240,000
42-officer bachelor officers' quarters at $6,000	42 bachelor officers' quarters at $5,000	42,000
Total reduction		7,416,000
Lockbourne Air Force Base, Columbus, Ohio:		
Operations apron 570,100 square yards at $12	Cut 50 percent	3,420,000
3 wells at $45,000	3 at $35,000	30,000
Dental clinic $97,000	Cut 50 percent	49,000
Warehouse 100,000 square feet at $8.40	Cut to $6	240,000
Total reduction		3,739,000
MacDill Air Force Base, Tampa, Fla:		
3 acres land		1,000
Apron, parking 250,000 square yards at $12	125,000 square yards at $12	1,500,000
Total reduction		1,501,000
March Air Force Base, Riverside, Calif.:		
1,000-airman dormitories at $2,000	Defer	2,000,000
Warehouse, 9,000 at $8.40	9,000 at $6	22,000
Total reduction		2,022,000
Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho:		
Apron, operation, 260,000 square yards at $12	130,000 square yards at $12	1,560,000
Washrack		110,000
7 units, at $75,000	3, at $75,000	300,000
15 units, at $92,000	9 units, at $92,000	552,000
Electric distribution	Defer	125,000
Dental clinic, 5,955 square feet, $101,000	50 percent	50,000
Total reduction		2,697,000
Offutt Air Force Base, Omaha, Nebr.: 300 airmen dormitory, at $2,000.	Defer	600,000
Plattsburgh Barracks, N. Y.:		
723,122 square yards of apron, at $12	Reduce to 523,122 square yards	2,400,000
Washrack	Defer	110,000
7 units, at $75,000	3, at $75,000	300,000
15 units, at $92,000	9, at $92,000	552,000
5,112,000 gallons jet fuel storage, $0.10	Cut 50 percent	256,000
340,000 square yard apron lighting	Cut 50 percent	29,000
Control tower	Cut to $40,000	28,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND—continued		
Plattsburgh Barracks, N. Y.—Continued		
2,600-airman dormitory, at $1,940	1,300 at $1,400	$3,224,000
Utilities, 10 percent, $1,636,000	Cut to $1,000,000	636,000
Dental clinic, 7,039 square feet, $120,000	Cut 50 percent	60,000
Warehouse, 100,000 square feet, at $8.40	100,000, at $6	240,000
Total reduction		7,835,000
Rapid City Air Force Base, S. Dak.: Total reduction		None
Selman Field, Monroe, La.:		
Hardstands, 111,786, at $12	61,786 square yard, at $12	600,000
Taxiway strength, 132,162, at $7.20	132,162, at $5	290,000
Washrack	Omit	110,000
15 units, at $75,000	9 at $75,000	450,000
3,100-airman dormitory, at $1,940	1,550 at $1,400	3,844,000
Railroad spur, 1.5 miles at $56,400	1.5 miles at $50,000	10,000
Dental clinic, 5,380 square feet, $91,000	Cut 50 percent	46,000
Warehouse, 50,000 square feet, at $8.40	50,000, at $6	120,000
Total reduction		5,470,000
Sioux City Municipal Airport, Iowa:		
Apron, 522,000 square yards, at $12	272,000 square yards, at $12	3,000,000
7 units, at $75,000	3, at $75,000	300,000
15 units, at $92,000	9, at $92,000	552,000
Apron lighting, 770,000 square yards, at $0.17	520,000 square yards, at $0.17	42,000
Squadron operation buildings, 4, 39,600 square feet, at $11.	2 buildings, 19,800, at $11	218,000
4,000-airman dormitory, at $1,940	2,000 at $1,500	4,960,000
Dental clinic, 6,310 square feet, $107,000	50 percent	53,000
Warehouse, 40,000 square feet, at $8.40	40,000 square feet, at $2.40	96,000
Total reduction		9,221,000
Smoky Hill Air Force Base, Salina, Kans.:		
Washrack	None	110,000
Warehouse, 20,000 square feet, at $8.40	20,000 square feet, at $6	48,000
Total reduction		158,000
Stead Air Force Base, Reno Nev.:		
600 airman dormitory, at $1,940	480, at $1,400	492,000
Service club, 8,100 square feet, $105,000	Defer	105,000
Dental clinic, 4,670 square feet, $79,000	Cut 50 percent	39,000
21 officer bachelor quarters, at $6,000	21 officer bachelor quarters, at $5,000	21,000
Total reduction		657,000
Tye Field, Abilene, Tex.:		
645,000 square yard apron, at $12	345,000, at $12	3,600,000
Washrack	None	110,000
7 units, at $75,000	3, at $75,000	300,000
15 units, at $92,000	9, at $92,000	553,000
3,000 airman dormitories, at $2,000	1,500, at $1,700	3,450,000
Utilities, landing strip, $429,000	Duplication; delete	429,000
Dental clinic, 6,310 square feet, $114,000	50 percent	57,000
Wharehouse, 100,000 square feet, at $8.40	100,000 square feet, at $6	240,000
63-officer bachelor quarters, at $6,000	63 officer bachelor quarters, at $5,000	63,000
Total reduction		8,801,000
Walker Air Force Base, Roswell, N. Mex.: 3 units, at $60,000.	Defer; has 15	180,000
Westover Air Force Base, Chicopee Falls, Mass.:		
Strengthening apron 140,000 square yards, at $6	140,000 square yards, at $5	140,000
97,100 square yards apron, at $12	67,100 square yards, at $12	360,000
Washrack	Omit	110,000
Roads, 140,000 square yards, at $5.70	140,000 square yards, at $3.95	245,000
Warehouse, 100,000 square feet, at $8.40	100,000 square feet, at $7.50	240,000
Total reduction		1,095,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR DEFENSE COMMAND		
Burlington Municipal Airport, Vt.: Utilities	Duplication	$55,000
Duluth Municipal Airport, Minn.:		
Recreation facilities	Defer	91,000
Warehouse, 20,000 square feet, at $8.40	200,000 square feet, at $6	48,000
Total reduction		139,000
Geiger Field, Spokane, Wash.		
Total reduction		None
Pittsburgh municipal airport, Coraopolis, Pa.:		
Recreation facility	Defer	91,000
Roads, 11,733 square yards at $5.70	11,733 square yards at $3.95	20,000
Utilities	Duplication	87,000
Warehouse, 40,000 square feet at $8.40	40,000 square feet at $6	96,000
Total reduction		294,000
Hamilton Air Force Base, San Rafael, Calif		None.
Houma Gunnery Range, La.:		
Revised sheets submitted:		
First submission	$3,892,000	
Revision	$3,000,000	
Total reduction		892,000
Kinross Air Force Base, Kinross, Mich.:		
Warehouse, 20,000 square feet at $8.40	20,000 square feet at $6	48,000
Recreation facility, 7,000 square feet	Defer	91,000
Total reduction		139,000
Larson Air Force Base, Lake, Wash.:		
Washrack	Omit	110,000
200-airman dormitory, $1,940	Defer—has enough housing now	388,000
63-officer bachelor officer's quarters, $5,500	do	347,000
1-mile railroad at $567,400	1 mile at $50,000	6,000
Utilities	Duplication	94,000
Dental clinic, 5,025 square feet, $90,000	50 percent	45,000
25-bed addition to 50-bed hospital	Defer	422,000
Warehouse, 100,000 square feet at $8.40	100,000 square feet at $6	240,000
Totol reduction		1,652,000
Majors Field, Greenville, Tex		None
McChord Air Force Base, Tacoma, Wash.:		
Heat, steam plant, 1,500 horsepower, at $240	1,500 horsepower $100	210,000
Utilities	Duplication	482,000
Warehouse, 80,000 square feet at $8.40	80,000, at $6	120,000
Orig. supply building, 10,240 square feet at $10	10,240 square feet at $6.75	33,000
Total reduction		845,000
McGhee-Tyson Airport, Knoxville, Tenn.:		
Roads, 73,333 square yards at $4.80	73,333 square yards at $3.95	62,000
Utilities	Duplication	78,000
Warehouse, 15,000 square feet at $8.40	15,000 square feet at $6	36,000
Total reduction		176,000
Minneapolis, St. Paul International Airport		None
New Castle County Airport, Wilmington, Del.:		
500-airman dormitory at $1,940	165 at $1,400	739,000
Warehouse, 40,000 square feet at $8.40	40,000 square feet at $6	96,000
Total reduction		835,000
Niagara Falls Municipal Airport:		
400-airman dormitory at $1,940	250 at $1,400	426,000
Infirmary addition 2,240 square feet	Omit	39,000
Warehouse, 15,000 square feet at $8.40	15,000 square feet at $6	36,000
A10 shop, 5,000 square feet	Omit	48,000
Vehicle maintenance shop, 5,000 square feet	do	63,000
Total reduction		612,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR DEFENSE COMMAND—continued		
O'Hare International Airport, Chicago, Ill.:		
Service club, 8,100 square feet at $13	Defer	$105,000
Warehouse $80,000 at $8.40	80,000, at $6	192,000
A10 shop 5,000 square feet at $9.50	Omit	48,000
Total reduction		345,000
Osceola Air Force Base, Osceola, Mich.: Warehouse, 20,000 at $8.40.	20,000 square feet at $6	48,000
Otis Air Force Base, Falmouth, Mass.:		
Dental clinic, 4,670 square feet	Omit—has use of Army facilities	79,000
Infirmary, 5,575 square feet	do	95,000
Total reduction		174,000
Oxnard Air Force Base, Oxnard, Calif.: Warehouse, 15,000 square feet, at $8.40.	15,000 square feet, at $6	36,000
Paine Field, Everett, Wash.: Warehouse, 20,000 square feet, at $8.40.	20,000 square feet, at $6	48,000
Palmdale-Los Angeles County Airport, Palmdale, Calif.		None
Portland International Airport, Portland, Oreg.		None
Presque Air Force Base, Presque Isle, Maine		None
Selfridge Air Force Base, Mount Clemens, Mich.:		
500-airman dormitory, at $2,000	Defer	1,000,000
Utilities	do	112,000
Total reduction		1,112,000
Stewart Air Force Base, Newburgh, N. Y.		None
Suffolk County Air Force base, Westhampton Beach, Long Island, N. Y.:		
Service club 8,100 square feet	Defer	105,000
Warehouse 80,000 at $8.40	80,000 square feet at $6	192,000
Vehicle maintenance shop	Omit	125,000
A10 shop 5,000 square feet	do	48,000
Total reduction		470,000
Truax Field, Madison, Wis.:		
Warehouse 4,500 square feet at $8.40	4,500 square feet at $6	11,000
Vehicle maintenance rebuild	Omit	25,000
Total reduction		36,000
Venice: Substitution of Charlotte County	Reduces authorization	651,000
Charlotte County Airport, Punta Gorda, Fla.:		
Control tower at $68,000	1 at $40,000	28,000
250-airman dormitories at $1,940	250-airman dormitories at $1,400	135,000
2½ miles railroad at $56,400	2½ miles at $50,000	16,000
Warehouse 8,000 square feet at $8.40	8,000 at $6	19,000
A10 shop	Omit	23,000
Vehicle maintenance shop	do	48,000
Total reduction		269,000
Yuma County Airport, Yuma, Ariz.:		
400-airman dormitories without mess at $1,590	400-airman dormitories at $1,150	176,000
Recreation facilities	Change in unit price	28,000
Total reduction		204,000
TACTICAL AIR COMMAND		
Alexandria Municipal Airport, Alexandria, La.:		
800-airman dormitories at $2,000	143 at $1,700	1,357,000
Land		115,000
Total reduction		1,472,000
Ardmore Municipal Airport, Ardmore, Okla.:		
Warehouse 80,000 square feet at $8.40	80,000 square feet at $6	192,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
TACTICAL AIR COMMAND—continued		
Blytheville Municipal Airport, Blytheville, Ark.:		
Apron 150,000 square yards at $12	Has ⅔ of requirement—defer	$1,800,000
1,700-airman dormitories at $1,940	850 at $1,400	2,108,000
Service club	Defer	105,000
0.55 mile railroad at $56,400	0.55 mile at $50,000	3,000
Dental clinic 4,670 square feet, $79,000	50 percent	39,000
Hospital 50 to 100 beds, $213,000	Defer	213,000
Warehouse 70,000 square feet at $8.40	70,000 square feet at $6	168,000
Vehicle maintenance shop:		
New	Defer	148,000
Rebuild	Use maintenance funds	27,000
Total reduction		4,601,000
Bunker Hill Naval Air Station, Peru, Ind.:		
Washrack	Omit	110,000
4,000-airman dormitories, at $1,940	2,000 at $1,400	4,960,000
Utilities L. S	Duplication	500,000
Hospital, 150 to 300 (two-thirds at $1,088)	50 percent of two-thirds	544,000
Total reduction		6,114,000
Clovis Air Force Base, Clovis, N. Mex.:		
Mess improvement	Maintenance fund	54,000
Warehouse, 80,000 square feet at $8,140	80,000 square feet at $6	192,000
Total reduction		246,000
Galveston Municipal Airport, Galveston, Tex.:		
Washrack		80,000
Control tower, 1 at $68,000	1 at $40,000	28,000
Roads, 2 miles at $55,000	2 miles at $50,000	10,000
Dental clinic, 5,380—$91,000	50 percent	46,000
Hospital addition	Omit	240,000
Warehouse, 40,000 square feet at $8.40	40,000 square feet at $6	96,000
Warehouse, 9,600 square feet at $8.40	9,600 square feet at $6	23,000
Total reduction		523,000
Myrtle Beach Airport, Myrtle Beach, S. C.:		
1,100-airman dormitories at $1,940	1,100 at $1,400	594,000
Warehouse, 80,000 square feet at $8.40	80,000 square feet at $6	192,000
Total reduction		786,000
Raleigh-Durham Municipal Airport, Raleigh-Durham, N. C.:		
Apron parking, 300,000 square yards, at $12	300,000, at $9.50	750,000
Runway, extend, 33,333 square yards, at $12	33,333, at $9.50	83,000
Taxiway, extend, 42,000 square yards, at $10	42,000, at $8.50	63,000
1,900 airman dormitories, at $1,940	1,000, at $1,400	2,286,000
Bachelor officers quarters, 45, at $5,500	42, at $5,000	21,000
Service club, 8,100 square feet	Defer	105,000
Railroad, 6½ miles, at $56,400	6½ miles, at $50,000	41,000
Dental clinic	Defer	85,000
Hospital	do	213,000
Warehousing, 125,000 square feet, at $8.40	125,000, at $6	300,000
AIO paved area, 3,125 square yards, at $4.80	Omit	15,000
AIO shop, 10,000 square feet, at $9.50	do	95,000
Total reduction		4,057,000
Seymour-Johnson Field, Goldsboro, N. C.:		
Operations building, relocate	Defer	50,000
2,000 airman dormitories, at $1,940	1,000, at $1,400	2,480,000
Roads, 5,000 square yards, at $5.70	5,000 square yards, at $3.95	9,000
AIO shop	Omit	95,000
Total reduction		2,634,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR TRAINING COMMAND		
Big Spring Air Force Base, Big Spring, Tex		None
Bryan Air Force Base, Bryan, Tex.:		
Vehicle maintenance shop	50 percent	$105,000
Dormitory 100, at $1,940	Defer	194,000
Bachelor officers' quarters 105, at $5,500	Bachelor officers' quarters 105, at $5,000	52,000
Total reduction		351,000
James Connally Air Force Base, Waco, Tex.:		
1,600-airman dormitory, at $2,000	1,065, at $1,700	1,392,000
Vehicle maintenance shop 15,263, at $13	7,500, at $12.50	104,000
Total reduction		1,496,000
Craig Air Force Base, Selma, Ala.:		
Warehouse 15,000, at $8.40	15,000, at $6	36,000
Organization supply 8,000 square feet, at $8.40	8,000, at $5	27,000
Total reduction		63,000
Ellington Air Force Base, Houston, Tex.:		
210 bachelor officers' quarters, at $6,000	210, at $5,000	210,000
Motor pool paved area	Omit	14,000
Total reduction		224,000
Foster Field, Victoria, Tex.:		
800-airman dormitory, at $1,940	595, at $1,400	719,000
Organization supply 8,000, at $8.40	8,000, at $5	27,000
Total reduction		746,000
Goodfellow Air Force Base, San Angelo, Tex.:		
Bachelor officers' quarters, 42, at $6,000	42, at $5,000	42,000
Warehouse, 49,285, at $8.40	49,285, at $7.50	45,000
Land, 174, at $300	174, at $1,251	−166,000
Increase		79,000
Harlingen-All-Valley Municipal Airport, Harlingen, Tex.:		
Airmen's dormitory without mess, 1,000, at $1,590.	1,000, at $1,150	440,000
Airmen's dormitory, 2,000, at $1,940	1,000, at $1,400	2,480,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 504	Defer, has 881	2,772,000
Total reduction		5,692,000
Laredo Municipal Airport, Laredo, Tex.:		
400-cadet dormitory, at $1,590	Defer	636,000
3.37-mile railroad, at $56,400	3.37, at $50,000	21,000
Organization supply building, 6,000, at $8.40	6,000, at $5	20,000
Total reduction		677,000
Laughlin Air Force Auxiliary Field, Del Rio, Tex.:		
100-cadet dormitory, at $1,940	Defer	194,000
Railroad spur, 6 miles, at $56,400	6, at $50,000	38,000
Organization supply, 6,000, at $8.40	6,000, at $5	20,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 210, at $5,500	210, at $5,000	105,000
Total reduction		357,000
Luke Air Force Base, Phoenix, Ariz.: Cold storage, 6,700, at $21.	6,700, at $20	7,000
Mather Air Force Base, Sacramento, Calif.:		
Airman dormitory 1,700, at $2,000	850, at $1,700	1,955,000
105 Bachelor officers' quarters, at $5,500	Defer	578,000
Total reduction		2,533,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR TRAINING COMMAND—continued		
Moody Air Force Base, Valdosta, Ga.:		
Railroad spur 0.45 mile	0.45 ,at $50,000	$3,000
Warehouse 50,000, at $8.40	50,000 at $6	120,000
Vehicle maintenance shop, 22,800 square feet at $12.50.	50 percent	143,000
Total reduction		266,000
Moore Field, Mission, Tex.:		
Washrack	Omit	80,000
Airman dormitory, 400, at $1,590	400, at $1,150	176,000
Warehouse 74,440, at $8.40	74,440, at $6	179,000
Organization supply, 8,000 square feet, at $9.50	8,000, square feet, at $5	36,000
Vehicle maintenance shop, 12,500 square feet, at $12.50.	6,250 square feet, at $12.50	78,000
Total reduction		549,000
Nellis Air Force Base, Las Vegas, Nev.:		
2.2 miles railroad, at $56,400	2.2 miles, at $50,000	14,000
Dental clinic, 4,670 square feet, $84,000	50 percent	42,000
Locomotive maintenance and storage shop	Omit	51,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 147, at $6,000	147, at $5,000	147,000
Total reduction		254,000
Perrin Air Force Base, Sherman, Tex.: Warehouse at $8.40.	68,926 square feet, at $6	166,000
Pinecastle Air Force Base, Orlando, Fla.:		
6 units, at $92,000	Defer	552,000
Roads, 23,508 square yards, at $5.70	23,508, at $3.95	41,000
ORG supply, 10,000 square feet, at $8.40	10,000, at $5	34,000
ORG supply, 11,000 square feet, at $10	11,000, at $5	55,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 42, at $5,500	42, at $5,000	21,000
Total reduction		703,000
Randolph Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex.:		None
Reese Air Force Base, Lubbock, Tex.:		
700-airman dormitory, at $2,000	350, at $1,700	805,000
Warehouse, 32,379, at $8,140	32,379, at $6	78,000
Total reduction		883,000
Scott Air Force Base, Belleville, Ill.:		
Railroad spur, 0.6 mile, at $56,400	0.6 mile, at $50,000	4,000
Ordnance storage, 9,330 square feet, at $7.50	9,330 square feet, at $5	23,000
Total reduction		27,000
Tyndall Air Force Base, Panama City, Fla.		None
Vance Air Force Base, Enid, Okla.:		
666,666-square foot clearing, at $0.25	666,666 square feet, at $0.025	150,000
Cold storage, 6,700 square feet, at $21	6,700, at $20	7,000
Warehouse, 52,561, at $8.40	52,561, at $6	126,000
Bachelor officers' quarters, 126, at $5,500	126, at $5,000	63,000
Total reduction		346,000
Wichita Air Force Base, Wichita, Kans.:		
Supply building, 5,800, at $8.40	5,800, at $6	14,000
ORG supply building, 10,000, at $8.40	10,000, at $5	34,000
AIO shop, 12,000, at $10	Defer	120,000
Total reduction		168,000
Williams Air Force Base, Chandler, Ariz.		None

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR MATÉRIEL COMMAND		
Birmingham Modification Center, Ala.: Warehouse, 40,000 square feet at $8.40.	40,000 at $6	$96,000
Brookley Air Force Base, Mobile, Ala		None.
Hill Air Force Base, Ogden, Utah: Utilities	Duplication	130,000
Kelly Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex.: Dispensary.	Defer	36,000
Norton Air Force Base, San Bernardino, Calif.: 1½ miles, railroad, at $56,400.	1½ miles, at $50,000	10,000
Lynn Haven (petroleum storage area), Panama City, Fla.		None.
Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma City, Okla.: Roads, 45,000 square yards, at $5.70.	45,000 square yards, at $3.95	78,000
MILITARY AIR TRANSPORT SERVICE		
Palm Beach		None.
CONTINENTAL AIR COMMAND		
Dobbins Air Force Base, Marietta, Ga.: Entire project	Omit	1,083,000
Godman Air Force Base, Fort Knox, Ky.: 500-airman dormitory, at $2,000	500, at $1,700	150,000
Long Beach Municipal Airport, Calif		None
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND		
Headquarters, Air Research and Development Command, Baltimore, Md.: Entire project	Defer	1,646,000
Holloman Air Force Base, Alamogordo, N. Mex.:		
Airman dormitory and mess, 200	Defer	388,000
Bachelor officers quarters, 42, at $5,500	42, at $5,000	21,000
Total		409,000
Patrick Air Force Base, Cocoa, Fla.: 1,000-airman dormitory, at $1,940	720, at $1,400	932,000
Lockland Plant, Cincinnati, Ohio	Reduction	0
SPECIAL WEAPONS COMMAND		
Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, N. Mex.:		
1,400-airman dormitory, at $2,000	116, at $1,700	1,603,000
Roads, 50,644 square yards, at $4.80	50,644 square yards, at $3.95	43,000
Total reduction		1,646,000
HEADQUARTERS COMMAND		
Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, D. C.:		
100-airman dormitory, at $1,650	100, at $1,150	50,000
200 W A F dormitory, at $1,650	200, at $1,200	90,000
Cold storage, 6,700 square feet, at $21	6,700, at $20	7,000
Total reduction		147,000
AIR UNIVERSITY		
Gunter Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala.:		
700-airman dormitory, at $1,650	485, at $1,150	597,000
Road, 27,505 square yards, at $5.70	2,750 square yards, at $3.95	5,000
Bachelors officers quarters, 158, at $5,500	158, at $5,000	79,000
Total reduction		681,000

TITLE III.—*Department of the Air Force*—Continued

CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES—Continued

Item	Allowed	Amount of reduction
AIR UNIVERSITY—continued		
Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, Ala.:		
Academic building, 275,000 square feet, at $20	275,000, at $15	$1,375,000
Officers mess	Defer	469,000
Bachelor officers' quarters with mess	275, at $5,000	3,245,000
Utilities	Reduce	975,000
Total reduction		6,064,000
SCHOOL OF AVIATION MEDICINE		
Brooks Air Force Base, San Antonio, Tex		None

OUTSIDE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES

USAF—Overseas: Total requested $111,099,000 [1]	$92,610,000	$18,489,000
USAF—Classified: Total requested $517,071,000 [1]	412,383,000	104,688,000
Originally submitted as title V: Total requested $600,075,000.	600,075,000	None

[1] Individual bases reviewed and funds reduced where economies can be effected along same lines as indicated for bases in zone of the interior.

O